## Livermore Area Recreation and Park District Staff Report

TO:	Chair Faltings and Board of Directors
FROM:	Mathew Fuzie, General Manager
PREPARED BY:	Jeffrey Schneider, Administrative Services Manager Fred Haldeman, Parks and Facilities Manager
DATE:	November 9, 2022
SUBJECT:	Pump Track CIP Project – Authorization to Approve Spohn Ranch Agreement
COMMITTEE:	Facilities, Finance, and FY22-23 Board Budget Review

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: That the Board of Directors authorize the General Manager to sign the attached Price Proposal from Spohn Ranch to construct the Sunken Gardens Bicycle Pump Track and to simultaneously issue a notice to proceed.

<u>BACKGROUND</u>: The Board approved the District's FY22-23 CIP Budget on June 7, 2022. Included in the CIP Budget is \$817,000 of AB1600 funds to complete a Bicycle Pump Track on District-owned property at the Sunken Gardens site. Combined with prior year spending of \$22,201, the total Project budget is \$839,344.

As well, the District's California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Notice of Exemption submission (Attachment 3) was endorsed by the Alameda County Clerk on September 20<sup>th</sup>, and having received no public comment during the requisite 35 day period, staff are prepared to move forward with this project.

**Sourcewell:** The attached pricing proposal was obtained by LARPD through Sourcewell. LARPD is a member of Sourcewell, whose procurement team takes care of the entire competitive solicitation process. Sourcewell is a service cooperative that was established with the statutory purpose to assist public agencies in meeting specific needs which are more efficiently delivered cooperatively than by an entity individually. Sourcewell is authorized to establish competitively awarded cooperative purchasing contracts on behalf of itself and its participating agencies. <u>Sourcewell follows the competitive contracting law process to solicit, evaluate, and award cooperative purchasing contracts for goods and services</u>. Through Sourcewell, LARPD identified several vendors who could provide the labor, materials, and equipment needed to construct the Pump Track envisioned by the District.

Page 1 of 3

**O'Dell Engineering:** O'Dell has assisted LARPD in developing design and engineering specifications used by LARPD to identify prospective construction vendors, will create 100% construction documents, and will continue to project manage this effort along with Fred Haldeman, LARPD's Parks and Facilities Manager.

**Spohn Ranch:** The District selected Spohn Ranch as its preferred vendor based on price, its focus on this specific type of project, and its conceptual design work, which carefully reflected the elements communicated to LARPD through our earlier public outreach sessions. Spohn will provide all labor, materials, and equipment per the 100% Construction Documents.

**Engeo:** Engeo has completed its geotechnical survey and, in summary, their report concluded "the project site is suitable", "removal of existing fill material is not necessary" and "on-site material is suitable as fill material". Engeo will also be involved special inspections related to concrete/rebar as the project progresses.

**Financials:** Based on the attached Price Proposal from Spohn Ranch, the District's existing agreement with O'Dell for its design, engineering, and project management services, completed services (Geotech Report) from Engeo, and an assessment by staff and O'Dell regarding the magnitude of any remaining contingency required for this project, staff believes the project can be completed within the District's project budget.

As for the remaining contingency, we've a very current cost estimate from Spohn Ranch (June 28, 2022) and our engineering consultants agree with staff that the likelihood of using what remains in our project budget for contingencies is low.

Vendor	Service(s)	Budget		Current Est	]
American Ramp Company	Preliminary/conceptual Design (2020)	\$8,194		\$8,194	-
O'Dell Engineering	design and engg, PM, oversite	\$76,500		\$76,500	
Spohn Ranch	Price Proposal	\$655,500		\$713,050	
	Available Contingency	\$87,751	13%	\$30,200	4%
Engeo	Geotech Analysis/Report	\$11,400		\$11,400	
	Project Total	\$839,344		\$839,344	_
		\$839,344,25			=

#### Of Note:

- 1. Spohn offers a 12-month materials and workmanship warranty.
- 2. Project Schedule: once the pricing agreement is signed and a notice to proceed is delivered, the project is preliminarily expected to commence in early 2023 after the completion, by O'Dell, of the project's construction documents. Preliminary estimates are that construction would be completed in the Spring of 2023.
- 3. On-going maintenance for LARPD is expected to be modest and largely in line with our experience with the nearby skate park (with the possible exception of the artificial turf that will be in place in sections of the structure).

#### Attachments:

- A. Spohn Ranch Sourcewell Pricing ProposalB. CEQA Filing documentationC. Engeo's Geotech Report

Page 3 of 3

## SOURCEWELL PRICE PROPOSAL

Sourcewell Contract #112420-SRI Sourcewell Member #50677 Livermore Area Recreation & Park District Sunken Gardens Pump Track – Livermore, California June 28, 2022

#### SPOHN RANCH TO PROVIDE:

Labor, materials and equipment as follows for the improvements detailed in the 100% Construction Documents and per Spohn Ranch's competitively-solicited Sourcewell Contract #112420-SRI:

SCOPE OF WORK:	SOURCEWELL PRODUCT CODE:	SOURCEWELL PRICE:		
MOBILIZATION	SP-1	\$48,000.00		
TEMPORARY FACILITIES	SP-2	\$10,000.00		
CONSTRUCTION STAKING	SP-14	\$2,500.00		
DRAINAGE SYSTEM	SP-8	\$37,800.00		
ROUGH GRADING	SP-9	\$24,000.00		
FINE GRADING	SP-10	\$22,000.00		
CNC-CUT SCREEDS	SS-4	\$4,000.00		
CONCRETE PUMP TRACK	PUM-1	\$239,800.00		
CONCRETE FLATWORK	CON-4	\$33,950.00		
SHOTCRETE	CON-2	\$36,000.00		
FREIGHT	FR-2	\$5,000.00		
SHADE STRUCTURE (USA SHADE 20' x 20' SINGLE POST CANTILEVER)	SA-3	\$44,000.00		
SPECTATOR BENCHES (3)	SA-6	\$6,000.00		
SYNTHETIC TURF (FIELD TURF COMMAND CORE)	SP-16	\$159,000.00		
LANDSCAPING (COBBLESTONE)	SP-12	\$24,000.00		
BONDING	BOND	\$17,000.00		
TOTAL NOT-TO-EXCEED SOURCEWELL PRICE INCLUDING PREVAILING WAGE: \$713,050.00				

#### **EXCLUSIONS:**

- Bonding
- Permit fees
- 3<sup>rd</sup> party testing/inspections
- Taxes, except for payroll taxes and sales taxes on materials
- Union labor requirements
- Any work not expressly described in Spohn's scope of work

#### TERMS & CONDITIONS:

The following terms are expressly part of this proposal and any subsequent agreement between Client and Spohn similarly shall incorporate these terms. In the event that no further contract is entered into, the terms set forth in the proposal shall constitute the entire agreement between the parties.



 SPOHN RANCH, INC.

 P 626-330-5803

 F 626-330-5503

 W SPOHNRANCH.COM

 E INFO@SPOHNRANCH

 6824 S CENTINELA AVE.

 LOS ANGELES, CA 902302

LET'S ROLL



- Acceptance of the work shall be commercially reasonable and expeditious
- Failure to object to work within a reasonable time shall constitute deemed acceptance
- Spohn shall have approval rights as to any initial project schedule, and all subsequent schedule changes
- Spohn shall accept no risk of loss outside of its direct control
- All discretion attributed to Client in any contract shall be subject to commercial reasonableness standard
- Spohn shall not indemnify Client for losses not proximately and solely caused by Spohn
- Spohn must approve any material increases in scope in writing including agreeing to an equitable adjustment of contract price and time changes in scope in writing
- All modifications, waivers, alterations to be charged against Spohn must be written and signed by Spohn's authorized representative
- Any increase in the price of raw materials anticipated to be used in Spohn's scope greater than ten percent (10%) occurring after execution of this proposal shall constitute a material changed condition necessitating an equitable adjustment to the contract price
- The price contained in this proposal is valid for thirty (30) days and may be accepted by issuance of a notice to proceed or any similar
  instruction whereby Client indicates desire to commence project. The price is further conditioned upon Client's delivery of all items outside
  Spohn's scope of work upon which Spohn's work may be predicated.
- Payment terms 20% upon mobilization, 40% upon 50% completion of scope of work, 35% upon 100% completion of scope of work, 5% within 30 days of completion of scope of work
- Client shall pay invoices upon receipt. Interest on amounts unpaid after thirty days shall accrue at 1% interest of the cumulative outstanding balance per month (12% annual rate), compounded monthly. Client agrees that all work described in an invoice that is not objected to in a writing within five days of receipt of the invoice shall be deemed to be final and binding upon the Parties as to the amounts due, the adequacy of Spohn's performance, and the value of the services provided to Client. Any written objections shall specify the claimed defects sufficiently to allow Spohn's prompt and effective correction.
- Client acknowledges that Spohn is not party to any organized labor agreements. Client agrees that Spohn will not be responsible or liable for any issues, injuries or damages, relating to labor peace, strikes, picketing or otherwise, regardless as to cause. Client agrees that delays related to labor issues shall constitute a contractual delay and entitle Spohn to additional time to perform for the length of the delay, and compensation for any additional costs incurred. These terms are material conditions to Spohn's willingness to provide a proposal to Client.
- In the event that other entities affect the site conditions adversely or impede the progress of the work, the Client shall be responsible for any
   additional costs
- Any liability of Spohn which relates to the sale, manufacture, delivery, resale, installation or use of any goods sold by or furnished by Spohn, whether arising out of contract, negligence, strict tort, under any warranty or otherwise, shall be limited to Spohn's choice of the following: the repair of the goods; the replacement of the goods; the cancellation of the contract, return of the goods in question to Spohn, and Spohn's refund of the purchase price
- In no event shall Spohn's liability exceed the price of the specific goods upon which the liability is based
- In addition, Spohn shall not, under any circumstances, be responsible for special, consequential, or incidental damages such as, but not limited to, damage to or loss of other property; loss of profit, revenue or reputation; loss of capital; loss of purchased or replaced goods; or claims for delays, back charges, or loss of use
- Spohn reserves the right to makes any corrections as necessary to typographic errors
- In the event of any action, suit, arbitration, or other proceeding of any nature is brought in connection with the payment terms related to these Terms and Conditions, any related agreement, or Spohn's provision of goods, services, products, or to recover any of Spohn's property ("Dispute"), the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover its reasonable attorney's fees, expert-witness fees, other litigation costs and fees (e.g., deposition costs, trial preparation costs, etc.), and other costs and expenses of suit, judgment or award Any Dispute including the determination of the scope or applicability of this agreement to arbitrate, shall be determined by arbitration in Los Angeles, California, before one arbitrator. At the option of the first to commence an arbitration, the arbitration shall be administered either by the American Arbitration Association (AAA) pursuant to its Commercial Rules or by JAMS pursuant to its Comprehensive Arbitration Rules and Procedures. Judgment on the Award may be entered in any court having jurisdiction. This clause shall not preclude parties from seeking provisional remedies in aid of arbitration frees and expenses including the costs of arbitration to the prevailing party in accordance with the Attorney's Fees, prevision. Judgment on any arbitration award may be entered by any court of competent jurisdiction.
- Spohn shall, at its expense, procure and maintain insurance with carriers acceptable to Client, and in amounts set forth below. Spohn shall provide certificates of insurance to Client upon request. The certificates of insurance shall provide that there will be no cancellation nor reduction of coverage without thirty (30) days prior written notice to Client. Required coverage amounts:
  - Workers Compensation and Employers Liability insurance in the amount of \$1,000,000;
  - Automobile Coverage for all Owned, Non-Owned and Hired vehicles in the amount of \$1,000,000 per occurrence, \$2,000,000 aggregate;
  - Comprehensive General Liability or Commercial General Liability insurance covering all operations or job specific in the amount of \$1,000,000 per occurrence, \$2,000,000 aggregate;
  - Excess Liability/ Umbrella Insurance in the amount of \$5,000,000;
  - Errors and Omissions/ Professional Liability insurance in the amount of \$2,000,000 per occurrence, \$2,000,000 aggregate.
- Spohn offers a 12-Month Materials and Workmanship Warranty effective upon the date of substantial completion of the project or first use by Client
- These Terms and Conditions are necessarily incorporated by reference into any other related agreement; Client agrees that California law
  shall govern the relationship between the Client and Spohn to the fullest extent of applicable law including enforcement of any part of these
  Terms and Conditions or any other related agreement between or among Client and Spohn.
- Each Term and/or Condition herein is severable in the event one or more provisions are unenforceable for any reason



SPOHN RANCH INC.

OS ANGELES, C

V SPOHNRANCH.COM

FT'S ROLI

## SOURCEWELL PRICE PROPOSAL

SOURCEWELL CONTRACT #112420-SRI SOURCEWELL MEMBER #50677 LIVERMORE AREA RECREATION & PARK DISTRICT SUNKEN GARDENS PUMP TRACK – LIVERMORE, CALIFORNIA

PROPOSAL VALID FOR 30 DAYS FROM JUNE 28, 2022

I AUTHORIZE THE PURCHASE OF THE PRODUCTS AND SERVICES FROM SPOHN RANCH INCLUDED IN THIS PROPOSAL ACCORDING TO THE SPECIFIED TERMS AND CONDITIONS.

SOLD TO:	SHIP TO:
CONTACT:	CONTACT:
PHONE:	PHONE:
EMAIL:	EMAIL:
SPOHN RANCH REPRESENTATIVE:	PURCHASE AUTHORIZED BY:
DATE:	DATE:

HN RANCH INC

LET'S ROLL.

SPOHNRANCH.CO

OS ANGELES,







## SUNKEN GARDENS PUMP TRACK LIVERMORE, CALIFORNIA

FINAL CONCEPT DESIGN 6.27.22



# **GRADING NOTES**

DRAINAGE PLAN

ALL GRADING SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LOCAL GRADING CODE AND ANY SPECIAL

ΣS

ZR

44

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

Zш

TH

04

ΩY

SS

to the metric to the construction of the const

| <u>}</u> | .

O ⊼ ∰

- REQUIREMENTS OF THE GRADING PERMIT. CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY GRADES AND NOTIFY OWNER'S CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATOR
- PRIOR TO START OF GRADING WORK.
- SLOPES SHALL BE NO STEEPER THAN 3' HORIZONTAL TO 1' VERTICAL (3:1) AND SHALL HAVE NOT LESS THAN 90% COMPACTION OUT TO THEIR FINISH SURFACES.
- ALL PAVED AREAS SHALL SLOPE AS SHOWN ON PLANS WITH A 2% MAXIMUM FALL. PLANTED AREAS SHALL HAVE A MINIMUM 2% FALL.
- FINISH GRADE SHALL HAVE A UNIFORM SURFACE, FREE OF LUMPS, BUMPS AND DEPRESSIONS AND ANY OBJECTS THAT MAY PREVENT A POSITIVE FLOW TO DRAIN.
- ALL PROPOSED PAVING SURFACES SHALL MEET EXISTING PAVING SURFACES WITH SMOOTH AND CONTINUOUS TRANSITIONS AND FLUSH ALONG ENTIRE EDGE. CONCRETE WALKS TO HAVE A MAXIMUM CROSS SLOPE OF 2% AND SHALL MEET ALL CITY AND
- COUNTY REQUIREMENTS. PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION, CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL UTILITY LOCATIONS, EXISTING DRAINAGE STRUCTURES, PARKING LOT IMPROVEMENTS, AND FINISH FLOOR ELEVATIONS.
- NOTIFY THE OWNER'S CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATOR IMMEDIATELY UPON NOTING ANY DISCREPANCIES. FINISH GRADE AT TURF AREAS SHALL BE ONE INCH BELOW FINISH SURFACE OF SIDEWALKS,
- CURBS OR PAVED AREAS. PLANTING AREA FINISH GRADE SHALL BE 2" BELOW SAME UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.
- 10. ALL CONSTRUCTION AREAS SHALL BE FREE OF ROCK, DEBRIS, ETC. ALL EXISTING WEEDS SHALL BE REMOVED.



1. TYPICAL SLOPE DETAIL FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY. ALL SLOPE GRADING AND DRAINAGE SHALL CONFORM TO APPROVED GRADING PLAN.

4'

**TYPICAL INTERIOR SLOPE DETAIL** 

P-PIP-LIV-31

SCALE: 1"=10'-0"

N O R T H 30

**TYPICAL SLOPE FACTORS** 

3:1 \_\_\_\_\_1'

2'

3/4" = 1'-0"

ITEM NO. 4.1

SHEET

STUDY

DRAINAGE

+

GRADING

CA

LIVERMORE



## **GRADING NOTES**

- 1. ALL GRADING SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LOCAL GRADING CODE AND ANY SPECIAL
- REQUIREMENTS OF THE GRADING PERMIT. 2. CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY GRADES AND NOTIFY OWNER'S CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATOR
- PRIOR TO START OF GRADING WORK.
- 3. SLOPES SHALL BE NO STEEPER THAN 2' HORIZONTAL TO 1' VERTICAL (2:1) AND SHALL HAVE NOT LESS THAN 90% COMPACTION OUT TO THEIR FINISH SURFACES.
- 4. ALL PAVED AREAS SHALL SLOPE AS SHOWN ON PLANS WITH A 2% MAXIMUM FALL. PLANTED AREAS SHALL HAVE A MINIMUM 2% FALL.
- 5. FINISH GRADE SHALL HAVE A UNIFORM SURFACE, FREE OF LUMPS, BUMPS AND DEPRESSIONS AND ANY OBJECTS THAT MAY PREVENT A POSITIVE FLOW TO DRAIN.
- 6. ALL PROPOSED PAVING SURFACES SHALL MEET EXISTING PAVING SURFACES WITH SMOOTH AND CONTINUOUS TRANSITIONS AND FLUSH ALONG ENTIRE EDGE.
- CONCRETE WALKS TO HAVE A MAXIMUM CROSS SLOPE OF 2% AND SHALL MEET ALL CITY AND COUNTY REQUIREMENTS.
   PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION, CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL UTILITY LOCATIONS, EXISTING
- DRAINAGE STRUCTURES, PARKING LOT IMPROVEMENTS, AND FINISH FLOOR ELEVATIONS. NOTIFY THE OWNER'S CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATOR IMMEDIATELY UPON NOTING ANY DISCREPANCIES. 9. FINISH GRADE AT TURF AREAS SHALL BE ONE INCH BELOW FINISH SURFACE OF SIDEWALKS,
- CURBS OR PAVED AREAS. PLANTING AREA FINISH GRADE SHALL BE 2" BELOW SAME UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.
- 10. ALL CONSTRUCTION AREAS SHALL BE FREE OF ROCK, DEBRIS, ETC. ALL EXISTING WEEDS SHALL BE REMOVED.











State of California - Department of Fish and Wildlife 2022 ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT FILING FEE CASH RECEIPT DFW 753.5a (REV. 01/01/22) Previously DFG 753.5a

Print StartOver Save

## AC RECEIPT #: 3327476

RECEIPT NUMBER:

----

01-09/20/2022-289

STATE CLEARINGHOUSE NUMBER (If applicable)

SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE. TYPE OR PRINT CLEARLY.

LEAD AGENCY	LEADAGENCY EMAIL	DATE
LIVERMORE AREA RECREATION & PARK DISTRICT		09/20/2022
COUNTY/STATE AGENCY OF FILING		DOCUMENT NUMBER
ALAMEDA		22 - 289

PI OJECT TITLE

4

SUNKEN GARDENS PUMP TRACK

PROJECT APPLICANT NAME	PROJECT APPLICAN	FEMAIL	F	PHONE NUM	IBER
MICHELLE NEWBOULD				(925) 33	7-5351
PROJECT APPLICANT ADDRESS	CITY	STATE	2	ZIP CODE	
4444 EAST AVE.	LIVERMORE	CA		94550	
PROJECT APPLICANT (Check appropriate box)					
Local Public Agency     School District	Other Special District	Sta	ate Age	ency	Private Entity
CHECK APPLICABLE FEES:					
Environmental Impact Report (EIR)		\$3,539.25	\$		0.00
Mitigated/Negative Declaration (MND)(ND)		\$2,548.00	\$		0.00
Certified Regulatory Program (CRP) document - payment	due directly to CDFW	\$1,203.25	\$		0.00
<ul> <li>Exempt from fee</li> <li>Notice of Exemption (attach)</li> <li>CDFW No Effect Determination (attach)</li> <li>Fee previously paid (attach previously issued cash receip</li> </ul>	t copy)				
Water Right Application or Petition Fee (State Water Res.	ources Control Board only)	\$850.00	\$		0.00
County documentary handling fee	buildes bonator board only	φ000.00	s –		50.00
			е е		00.00
			Ф —		
Cash Credit Check Other	τοτα	L RECEIVED	\$		50.00
SIGNATURE	AGENCY OF FILING PRINTE	T.CLAFT	TLE ON ,		I NO. 4.1 CLERK

## **\*ENVIRONMENTAL DECLARATION**

(CALIFORNIA FISH AND GAME CODE SECTION 711.4)

LEAD AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS

Livermore Area Recreation & Park District 4444 East. Ave. Livermore, CA 94550



#### CLASSIFICATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT: (PLEASE MARK ONLY ONE CLASSIFICATION)

PLEASE MARK ONLY ONE CLASSIFICATION)

#### 1. NOTICE OF EXEMPTION / STATEMENT OF EXEMPTION

- ★ A STATUTORILY OR CATEGORICALLY EXEMPT
  - \$ 50.00 COUNTY CLERK HANDLING FEE

#### 2. NOTICE OF DETERMINATION (NOD)

- [ ] A NEGATIVE DECLARATION (OR MITIGATED NEG. DEC.)
  - \$ 2,548.00 STATE FILING FEE
  - \$ 50.00 COUNTY CLERK HANDLING FEE
- [ ] B ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT (EIR)
  - \$ 3,539.25 STATE FILING FEE
  - \$ 50.00 COUNTY CLERK HANDLING FEE
- 3. OTHER:

\*\*\*A COPY OF THIS FORM MUST BE COMPLETED AND SUBMITTED WITH EACH COPY OF AN ENVIRONMENTAL DECLARATION BEING FILED WITH THE ALAMEDA COUNTY CLERK.\*\*\*

#### BY MAIL FILINGS:

PLEASE INCLUDE FIVE (5) COPIES OF ALL NECESSARY DOCUMENTS AND TWO (2) SELF-ADDRESSED ENVELOPES.

#### IN PERSON FILINGS:

PLEASE INCLUDE FIVE (5) COPIES OF ALL NECESSARY DOCUMENTS AND ONE (1) SELF-ADDRESSED ENVELOPES.

#### ALL APPLICABLE FEES MUST BE PAID AT THE TIME OF FILING.

FEES ARE EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2022

MAKE CHECKS PAYABLE TO: ALAMEDA COUNTY CLERK

#### **NOTICE OF EXEMPTION**

TO: Alameda County Clerk – Recorder's Office 1106 Madison St. – First Floor Oakland, CA 94607 FROM: Livermore Area Recreation and Park District 4444 East Ave. ENDORSED Livermore, CA 94550 FILED ALAMEDA COUNTY

Project Title: Sunken Gardens Pump Track Project Location: 3800 Pacific Ave. Livermore, CA 94550

**Description of Nature, Purpose and Beneficiaries of Project:** The proposed project, Sunken Gerdens Pump Track, includes the construction of a bicycle pump track for recreational use by the residents of Livermore and the surrounding communities. This project is located on LARPD-owned land (APN 98-A-400-3-13) adjacent to an existing bicycle/skate park.

Name of Public Agency Approving Project: Livermore Area Recreation and Park District (LARPD)

Name of Agency Carrying Out Project: Livermore Area Recreation and Park District (LARPD)

#### **EXEMPT STATUS:**

Categorical Exemption. Section 15332 In-Fill Development Projects
 Declared Emergency (Sec. 15269(a); 21080(b)(3))
 Emergency Project (Sec. 15269(b)(c); 21080(b)(4)
 Ministerial (Sec. 15268; 21080(b)(1))
 Statutory Exemption. State section number:
 "Common Sense" Exemption (Sec. 1506(b)(3)) The possible environmental impacts of the project have been considered in making this determination, as explained below.

**Reasons why project is exempt:** This project is Categorically Exempt under CEQA Section 15332 In-Fill Development Projects, which exempts projects consisting of the applicable general plan designation, all applicable general plan policies, and appropriate zoning designation and regulations. Additionally, the proposed development occurs within city limits on a project site of no more than five acres surrounded by urban uses. The project site has no value as a habitat for endangered, rare, or threatened species. Approval of the project would not result in any significant effects relating to traffic, noise, air, or water quality. Lastly, the site can be adequately served by all required utilities and public services.

Lead Agency Contact Person:	Michelle Newbould	Telephone:	(925) 337-5351	
Signature: <u>M</u>	chille rubo	uld		
Name & Title: <u>Mı</u>	CHELLE NEWBOULD -	ADMINISTRATIVE	ASSISTANT	

SEP 2 0 2022 MELISSAWER Jounty Clerk

#### \*ENVIRONMENTAL DECLARATION

(CALIFORNIA FISH AND GAME CODE SECTION 711.4)

#### LEAD AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS

Livermore Area Recreation & Park District An independent special district

4444 East Ave., Livermore, CA 94550-5053 (925) 373-5700 • www.larpd.org

ounty Clerk

FILE NO:

#### **CLASSIFICATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT:**

(PLEASE MARK ONLY ONE CLASSIFICATION)

#### 1. NOTICE OF EXEMPTION / STATEMENT OF EXEMPTION

- [ ] A STATUTORILY OR CATEGORICALLY EXEMPT
  - \$ 50.00 COUNTY CLERK HANDLING FEE

#### 2. NOTICE OF DETERMINATION (NOD)

[X], A - NEGATIVE DECLARATION (OR MITIGATED NEG. DEC.)

\$ 2,210.25 - STATE FILING FEE

- \$ 50.00 COUNTY CLERK HANDLING FEE
- [] B ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT (EIR)
  - \$ 3,070.00 STATE FILING FEE
  - \$ 50.00 COUNTY CLERK HANDLING FEE

\*A COPY OF THIS FORM MUST BE COMPLETED AND SUBMITTED WITH EACH COPY OF AN ENVIRONMENTAL DECLARATION BEING FILED WITH THE ALAMEDA COUNTY CLERK.

FOUR (4) COPIES OF ALL NECESSARY DOCUMENTS ARE REQUIRED FOR FILINGS SUBMITTED BY MAIL. FIVE (5) COPIES ARE REQUIRED FOR IN-OFFICE FILINGS.

#### ALL APPLICABLE FEES MUST BE PAID AT THE TIME OF FILING.

#### FEES ARE EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2016

MAKE CHECKS PAYABLE TO: ALAMEDA COUNTY CLERK

Received

AUG 2 6 2016

LARPD

ITEM NO. 4.1

J:RECDOCS/New Clerk-Recorder Form Inventory/2016/EIR Cover Sheet 2016.xls

JUL 2 2 2016 FOR COUNTY CLERK USE ONL

#### ... . B 8 - 42 6 D . I

Notice of Determination	Appendix D
To:         □ Office of Planning and Research         U.S. Mail:       Street Address:         P.O. Box 3044       1400 Tenth St., Rm 113         Sacramento, CA 95812-3044       Sacramento, CA 95814         ⊠ County Clerk       County of: Alameda	From: Public Agency: LARPD Address: 44 East Avenue Livermore, CA 94550 Contact:Tim Barry, General Manage Phone:925/373-5700 ALAMEDA COUNTY Lead Agency (if different from above):
Address: <u>1106 Madison Street</u> Oakland, CA 94607	Address:
Resources Code.	ance with Section 21108 or 21152 of the Public
State Clearinghouse Number (if submitted to State Clearing	nghouse):2016021038
Project Title: Livermore Area Recreation and Park District Park	s, Recreation and Trails Draft Master Plan
Project Applicant: Livermore Area Recreation and Park Distric	t
Project Location (include county): Livermore Valley, including	the City of Livermore and eastern Alameda County
The proposed project is a comprehensive update of the District's to serve as a guide to the development, enhancement and mana space, and programs within the District's jurisdiction. The Draft M and recommended actions for the District, including providing new increasing trail connectivity, emphasizing opportunities for synthed development.	Parks, Recreation, and Trails Master Plan, intended gement of parks, trails, recreation facilities, open Aaster Plan provides a broad range of goals, policies, w facilities to meet existing and future demand, atic turf, and encouraging more on-site recreational
This is to advise that the Livermore Area Recreation and Par (X) Lead Agency or C) Re	k District has approved the above the period of the period
described project on June 29, 2016 and has made th (date)	e following determinations regarding the above
described project.	Scrib Barton Scrib
<ol> <li>The project [ will will will not] have a significant effect</li> <li>An Environmental Impact Report was prepared for the X A Negative Declaration was prepared for this project</li> <li>Mitigation measures [X] were were not] made a cort</li> <li>A mitigation reporting or monitoring plan [X] was was</li> <li>A statement of Overriding Considerations [ was x w</li> <li>Findings [ were were not] made pursuant to the p</li> <li>This is to certify that the final EIR with comments and resp</li> <li>negative Declaration, is available to the General Public at:</li> <li>444 East Avenue, Livermore, CA 94550</li> <li>Signature (Public Agency): Date Pecel</li> </ol>	Title: <u>Centers / Mana ger</u> Title: <u>Centers / Mana ger</u> Net of the second of the project approval, or the second of the project approval of the project. Title: <u>Centers / Mana ger</u>

Authority cited: Sections 21083, Public Resources Code. Reference Section 21000-21174, Public Resources Code.

Revised 2011



#### SUNKEN GARDENS PUMP TRACK LIVERMORE, CALIFORNIA

#### Attachment C - Geotech Report

## **GEOTECHNICAL EXPLORATION**

#### SUBMITTED TO

Ms. Michelle Newbould Livermore Area Recreation & Park District 4444 East Avenue Livermore, CA 94550

> PREPARED BY ENGEO Incorporated

> > June 3, 2022

PROJECT NO. 20347.000.001



Copyright © 2022 by ENGEO Incorporated. This document may not be reproduced in whole or in part by any means whatsoever, nor may it be quoted or excerpted without the express written consent of ENGEO Incorporated.



Project No. 20347.000.001

June 3, 2022

Ms. Michelle Newbould Livermore Area Recreation & Park District 4444 East Avenue Livermore, CA 94550

Subject: Sunken Gardens Pump Track 3800 Pacific Avenue Livermore, California

#### **GEOTECHNICAL EXPLORATION**

Dear Ms. Newbould:

With your authorization, we completed this geotechnical exploration report for the proposed development located in Livermore, California. The accompanying geotechnical exploration report compiles our field exploration together with our conclusions and recommendations regarding development within the study area.

We believe that the project site is suitable for the proposed development provided the recommendations of this report are incorporated into the project design and implemented during construction.

We are pleased to have been of service to you on this project and will continue to consult with you and your design team as the project planning progresses. If you have any questions regarding the information included in the report, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

**ENGEO** Incorporated

Connor Dunn

cd/sh/jf

No. 2804 Steve Harris, GE

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL	
-----------------------	--

1.0	INTRODUCTION1			
	1.1 1.2	purpo Proje	DSE AND SCOPE ECT LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION	1 1
2.0	FIND	INGS		.1
	2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5 2.6 2.7 2.8 2.9	SITE B GEOLO SEISM FIELD SURFA SUBSU GROU INFILT LABOF	ACKGROUND AND EXISTING IMPROVEMENTS DGY ICITY EXPLORATION ACE CONDITIONS JRFACE CONDITIONS NDWATER CONDITIONS RATION TESTING	1 2 2 3 3 3 3
3.0	CON	CLUSIC	DNS	. 4
	3.1 3.2 3.3	EXISTI EXPAN SEISM	NG FILL ISIVE SOIL IC HAZARDS	4 5 5
		3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3	Ground Rupture Ground Shaking Liquefaction	5 5 6
	3.4 3.5	FLOOE 2019 C	DING BC SEISMIC DESIGN PARAMETERS	6 6
4.0	CONS	STRUC	TION MONITORING	.7
5.0	EART	<b>HWOF</b>	RK RECOMMENDATIONS	. 8
	5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4	DEMO OVER- ACCEF ENGIN	LITION AND STRIPPING OPTIMUM SOIL MOISTURE CONDITIONS PTABLE FILL IEERED FILL COMPACTION	8 8 8 9
		5.4.1 5.4.2	Grading in Structural Areas Underground Utility Backfill	9 9
	5.5 5.6	SLOPE SITE D	E GRADIENTS RAINAGE	10 10
6.0	SHAD	DE STR	UCTURE FOUNDATION RECOMMENDATIONS	10
	6.1	DRILLE	ED PIERS	10
7.0	CON	CRETE	SLABS-ON-GRADE	11
	7.1 7.2	SETTL TREN	EMENT AND MAINTENANCE CH BACKFILL	11 11
8.0	LIMIT	ATION	S AND UNIFORMITY OF CONDITIONS	11



## TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

#### SELECTED REFERENCES

#### FIGURES

- **APPENDIX A** Test Pit Logs
- APPENDIX B Laboratory Test Data



## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 **PURPOSE AND SCOPE**

We prepared this geotechnical exploration report for design of the planned pump track in Livermore, California. The purpose of this geotechnical exploration report is to provide conclusions and recommendations regarding site development and concrete slab-on-grade recommendations for the proposed development. The scope of our services included a review of available literature and geologic maps for the immediate area, field exploration including four test pits and a double ring infiltration test, laboratory testing, geotechnical data analysis, and report preparation summarizing our recommendations for site development.

In preparation of this report, we reviewed the Sunken Garden Pump Track Landscape Improvement Plans by O'Dell Engineering dated September 22, 2021. We also reviewed the Revised Pump Track Location Exhibit, dated May 4, 2022.

We prepared this report exclusively for Livermore Area Recreation & Park District and their design team consultants. We should review any changes made in the character, design, or layout of the development to modify the conclusions and recommendations contained in this report, as necessary. This document may not be reproduced in whole or in part by any means whatsoever, nor may it be quoted or excerpted without our express written consent.

#### 1.2 **PROJECT LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION**

Figure 1 displays a Site Vicinity Map. The proposed pump track will be located northeast of the existing Sunken Gardens Skate Park at 3800 Pacific Avenue. The property currently consists of vacant land. Figure 2 shows the site boundaries and our exploration locations.

We understand the proposed improvements will include construction of a reinforced concrete skateboard pump track bearing on compacted soil, a shade structure, benches, landscape areas, and a subsurface drainage system daylighting at the low point of the site, approximately 34 feet from the pump track. Structural loads are yet to be determined; however, we assume that structural loads will be representative for this type of construction.

#### 2.0 FINDINGS

#### 2.1 SITE BACKGROUND AND EXISTING IMPROVEMENTS

We understand that the site was previously used as a quarry resulting in the placement of non-engineered fill in the vicinity of the proposed pump track. Based on a review of available historical aerial photos, there appear to be possible quarry related activities from as early as 1949 until approximately 1970.

#### 2.2 GEOLOGY

Wagner, et al. (1991) mapped the surficial native soil of the subject site as Alluvium Deposits (Q - Figure 3). This soil is described as Quaternary-aged deposits that consist predominantly of loose clay, silt, sand, or gravel that has been deposited by running water.



#### 2.3 SEISMICITY

The site is located in a seismically active region. The site is not located within a currently designated Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zone and no known surface expressions of active faults are believed to exist within the site. An active fault is defined by the State Mining and Geology Board (California Geological Survey, 2007) as one that has had surface displacement within Holocene time (about the last 11,000 years). According to the 2008 National Seismic Hazard Maps Spatial Query, the two nearest earthquake faults from the center of the site designated as active seismic sources are the Greenville Connected Fault, located approximately 4 miles west, and the Mount Diablo Thrust Fault, located approximately 6 miles west. Other active seismic sources in the region are summarized in the table below. Figure 4 shows the approximate locations of these faults and significant historic earthquake epicenters recorded within the region.

FAULT NAME	DISTANCE FROM SITE (MILES)	DIRECTION FROM SITE	MAXIMUM MOMENT MAGNITUDE
Greenville Connected	4.1	West	7.0
Mount Diablo Thrust	5.5	West	6.7
Calaveras	8.7	West	7.0
Great Valley	13.0	Southwest	6.9
Hayward-Rodgers Creek	14.0	West	7.3

## TABLE 2.3-1: Active Faults Capable of Producing Significant Ground Shaking at the Site Latitude: 37.6760097, Longitude: -121.750762

Portions of the Great Valley fault are considered seismically active blind thrust faults; however, since the fault segments are not known to extend to the ground surface, the fault is not defined as active by the State Mining and Geology Board according to the definition above and the State of California has not defined Earthquake Fault Zones around postulated traces.

The Great Valley fault is considered capable of causing significant ground shaking at the site, but the recurrence interval is believed longer than for more distant, strike-slip faults. Recent studies suggest that this boundary fault may have been the cause of the Vacaville-Winters earthquake sequence of April 1892 (Eaton, 1986; Wong and Biggar, 1989; Moores and others, 1991). Other large ( $>M_W7$ ) earthquakes have historically occurred in the Bay Area to the west and along the margins of the Central Valley and many earthquakes of low magnitude occur every year.

#### 2.4 FIELD EXPLORATION

Our field exploration included excavating four test pits and performing one double ring infiltration test at the locations shown on the Site Plan, Figure 2. We performed our field exploration on May 12, 2022. The location and elevations of our explorations are approximate and were estimated by using mobile GPS and GIS applications on handheld devices; they should be considered accurate only to the degree implied by the method used.

We observed excavation of four test pits at the locations shown on the Site Plan, Figure 2. An ENGEO representative observed the test pit excavation and logged the subsurface conditions at each location. We retained a rubber-tired backhoe to excavate the test pits and logged the underlying soil. The maximum depth penetrated by the test pits was approximately 10 feet below existing ground surface.



We obtained soil samples from the test pits using hand-sampling techniques. The test pit logs present descriptions and graphically depict the subsurface conditions encountered. The logs depict subsurface conditions at the exploration locations during the exploration; however, subsurface conditions may vary with time. The exploration logs are included in Appendix A.

#### 2.5 SURFACE CONDITIONS

We observed the following site features during our field exploration.

- The site primarily consisted of a vacant tilled field.
- Several large trees were located throughout the site.
- Arroyo Bike Trail bordered the Site to the west.

Please refer to the Site Plan, Figure 2, for more information on site features.

#### 2.6 SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

The soil encountered in our explorations generally consisted of undocumented fill consisting primarily of clayey gravel to the maximum depth explored of approximately 10 feet below existing ground surface. The near-surface soil generally consists of moderately expansive sandy clay.

Consult the Site Plan and exploration logs for specific subsurface conditions at each location. We include our exploration logs in Appendix A. The profiles graphically depict the subsurface conditions encountered at the time of the exploration.

#### 2.7 **GROUNDWATER CONDITIONS**

Static or perched groundwater was not encountered in any of our subsurface explorations. We searched United States Geological Survey (USGS) and California Department of Water Resource (DWR) monitored wells in the vicinity of the project area. Groundwater appears to be between 25 and 45 feet deep in the surrounding site area. Fluctuations in the level of groundwater may occur due to variations in rainfall, irrigation practice, and other factors not evident at the time measurements were made.

#### 2.8 INFILTRATION TESTING

We excavated one additional test pit on May 12, 2022, utilizing a rubber-tired backhoe at the approximate location shown on the Site Plan, Figure 2 within the vicinity of the proposed subdrain outfall to a depth of approximately 3 feet below the existing ground surface. The test pit was converted into a double-ring infiltration test to test the infiltration rate within representative soil strata. The double-ring infiltration test was performed in general conformance with ASTM D3385 and the Multi-Agency Post-Construction Stormwater Standards Manual.

The infiltration test maintains a constant head within the rings. Specialized Mariotte Tubes were used to maintain the water levels at the selected head elevation in both the inner ring and the annular space throughout the test. The infiltration test was run until the infiltration rate stabilized.



The infiltration rate for the double-ring infiltrometer was calculated using the following equation from ASTM D3385.

$$VIR = \Delta VIR / (AIR * \Delta t)$$

Where:

- VIR = inner ring incremental infiltration velocity, cm/hr
- $\Delta VIR$  = volume of liquid used during time interval to maintain constant head in the inner ring, cm<sup>3</sup>
- AIR = interior area of inner ring,  $cm^2$
- $\Delta t =$  time interval, h

Based on the encountered soil types, the site soil would be anticipated to have infiltration rates for Type D soil, as presented in Table 3-1 of the Multi-Agency Post Construction Stormwater Standards Manual. Our double ring infiltration test results are summarized in Table 2.8-1 below along with estimations of soil type at each test location.

#### TABLE 2.8-1: Double-Ring Infiltrometer Test Results

TEST LOCATION	SOIL TYPE	INFILTRATION RATE (inches/hour)
DR-1	Clayey Gravel (GC)	0.05

#### 2.9 LABORATORY TESTING

We performed laboratory tests on selected soil samples from our test pits to evaluate their engineering properties. For this project, we performed sieve and plasticity index testing. Laboratory test results are included in Appendix B.

#### 3.0 CONCLUSIONS

Based on the results of our exploration and analysis, it is our opinion that construction at the site is feasible from a geotechnical standpoint. It is our opinion that the geotechnical concerns may be mitigated if our recommendations are incorporated in the design of the project and implemented during construction. The primary geotechnical consideration that could affect development on the site is the presence of moderately expansive surficial soil and undocumented fill.

#### 3.1 EXISTING FILL

We encountered undocumented fill in each of our test pits to the maximum depth explored of 10 feet below existing ground surface. Due to equipment limitations and safety concerns, we were unable to excavate any deeper to find native material. The gravelly fill was relatively dense and the excavator was having difficulty removing material at depth.

Fill is considered "undocumented" if there are no records regarding the placement and compaction for use as engineered fill. Without proper documentation, we cannot determine if these fills were properly engineered to meet the needs of the proposed improvements. Non-engineered fills can undergo excessive settlement, especially under new fill or building loads. We would typically recommend removal and replacement of the undocumented fill material. However, given the depth of the fill, the assumed light loading of the proposed pump track, and



the density of the encountered fill materials, it is our opinion that removal of the existing fill material is not necessary. Recommendations for concrete slabs-on-grade constructed on fill material are provided in Section 7.

#### 3.2 EXPANSIVE SOIL

We observed potentially expansive lean clay near the surface of the site in our borings. Our laboratory testing indicates that the soil exhibits moderate shrink/swell potential with variations in moisture content.

Expansive soil changes in volume with changes in moisture. It can shrink or swell and cause heaving and cracking of slabs-on-grade, pavements, and structures founded on shallow foundations. To reduce the potential for damage to the proposed pump track, we recommend that the slabs-on-grade be underlain by a minimum of 4 inches of Class 2 aggregate base.

We also provide specific grading recommendations for compaction of expansive soil at the site. The purpose of these recommendations is to reduce the swell potential of the soil by compacting at a higher moisture content and controlling the amount of compaction. Expansive soil mitigation recommendations are presented in Section 5 of this report.

#### 3.3 SEISMIC HAZARDS

Potential seismic hazards resulting from a nearby moderate to major earthquake can generally be classified as primary and secondary. The primary seismic hazard is ground rupture, also called surface faulting. The common secondary seismic hazards include ground shaking, liquefaction, and ground lurching. The following sections present a discussion of these hazards as they apply to the site. Based on topographic and lithologic data, the risk of regional subsidence or uplift is considered low to negligible at the site.

#### 3.3.1 Ground Rupture

Since there are no known active faults crossing the property and the site is not located within an Earthquake Fault Special Study Zone, it is our opinion that ground rupture is unlikely at the subject property.

#### 3.3.2 Ground Shaking

An earthquake of moderate to high magnitude generated within the San Francisco Bay Region could cause considerable ground shaking at the site, similar to that which has occurred in the past. To mitigate the shaking effects, all structures should be designed using sound engineering judgment and the current California Building Code (CBC) requirements, as a minimum. Seismic design provisions of current building codes generally prescribe minimum lateral forces, applied statically to the structure, combined with the gravity forces of dead-and-live loads. The code-prescribed lateral forces are generally considered to be substantially smaller than the comparable forces that would be associated with a major earthquake. Therefore, structures should be able to: (1) resist minor earthquakes without damage, (2) resist major earthquakes without structural damage but with some nonstructural damage, and (3) resist major earthquakes without collapse but with some structural as well as nonstructural damage. Conformance to the current building code recommendations does not constitute any kind of guarantee that significant structural damage would not occur in the event of a maximum magnitude earthquake; however,



it is reasonable to expect that a well-designed and well-constructed structure will not collapse or cause loss of life in a major earthquake (SEAOC, 1996).

#### 3.3.3 Liquefaction

Soil liquefaction results from loss of strength during cyclic loading, such as imposed by earthquakes. Soil most susceptible to liquefaction is clean, loose, saturated, uniformly graded fine sand below the groundwater table. Empirical evidence indicates that loose silty sand is also potentially liquefiable. When seismic ground shaking occurs, the soil is subjected to cyclic shear stresses that can cause excess hydrostatic pressures to develop. If excess hydrostatic pressures exceed the effective confining stress from the overlying soil, the sand may undergo deformation. If the sand undergoes virtually unlimited deformation without developing significant resistance, it is said to have liquefied, and if the sand consolidates or vents to the surface during and following liquefaction, ground settlement and surface deformation may occur. In some cases, settlements of approximately 2 to 3 percent of the thickness of the liquefiable layer have been measured.

Based on the relatively deep groundwater levels discussed in Section 2.7, it is our opinion that liquefaction-induced settlements will not be significant. However, there may be deeper sand layers present below the groundwater table that may contribute minor differential settlement.

#### 3.4 FLOODING

Based on review of the FEMA flood zone map, the subject development is designated as Flood Zone X. This signifies an area of minimal flood zone hazard. The Civil Engineer should review pertinent information relating to possible flood levels for the subject site based on final pad elevations and provide appropriate design measures for development of the project, if necessary.

#### 3.5 2019 CBC SEISMIC DESIGN PARAMETERS

The 2019 CBC utilizes seismic design criteria established in the ASCE/SEI Standard "Minimum Design Loads and Associated Criteria for Buildings and Other Structures," (ASCE 7-16). Based on the subsurface conditions encountered, we characterized the site as Site Class D.

ASCE 7-16 requires a site-specific ground-motion hazard analysis for Site Class D sites with a mapped  $S_1$  value greater than or equal to 0.2. However, Section 11.4.8 of ASCE 7-16 and Supplement No. 3 provide an exception to this requirement. A site-specific ground-motion hazard analysis is not required where the value of the parameter  $S_{M1}$  determined by Equation 11.4-2 and shown in Table 1 is increased by 50 percent for developing the mapped Risk-Targeted Maximum Considered Earthquake (MCER) spectral response, calculating  $S_{D1}$ , and evaluating  $C_s$  in accordance with Chapter 12 of ASCE 7-16.

In Table 3.5-1 below, we provide the CBC seismic parameters based on the United States Geological Survey's (USGS') Seismic Design Maps for your use. When using this table, considerations should be given to exceptions in Section 11.4.8 of ASCE 7-16, as described in this report.



#### TABLE 3.5-1: 2019 CBC Seismic Design Parameters, Latitude: 37.6760097, Longitude: -121.750762

PARAMETER	VALUE
Site Class	D
Mapped MCE <sub>R</sub> Spectral Response Acceleration at Short Periods, $S_S$ (g)	1.86
Mapped MCE <sub>R</sub> Spectral Response Acceleration at 1-second Period, S <sub>1</sub> (g)	0.6
Site Coefficient, F <sub>A</sub>	1
Site Coefficient, Fv	1.7*
$MCE_R$ Spectral Response Acceleration at Short Periods, $S_{MS}$ (g)	1.86
$MCE_R$ Spectral Response Acceleration at 1-second Period, $S_{M1}$ (g)	1.02*
Design Spectral Response Acceleration at Short Periods, SDS (g)	1.24
Design Spectral Response Acceleration at 1-second Period, S <sub>D1</sub> (g)	0.68*
Mapped MCE Geometric Mean (MCE <sub>G</sub> ) Peak Ground Acceleration, PGA (g)	0.76
Site Coefficient, F <sub>PGA</sub>	1.1
$MCE_G$ Peak Ground Acceleration adjusted for Site Class effects, PGA <sub>M</sub> (g)	0.84
Long period transition-period, T <sub>L</sub> (sec)	8

\*The parameters above should only be used for calculation of T<sub>s</sub>, determination of Seismic Design Category, and, when taking the exceptions under Items 1 and 2 of ASCE 7-16 Section 11.4.8. (Supplement Number 3 https://ascelibrary.org/doi/epdf/10.1061/9780784414248.sup3).

We recommend that we collaborate with the structural engineer of record to further evaluate the effects of taking the exception on the structural design and identify the need for performing a site-specific ground-motion hazard analysis. We can prepare a proposal for a site-specific ground-motion hazard analysis, if requested.

## 4.0 CONSTRUCTION MONITORING

Our experience and that of our profession clearly indicate that the risk of costly design, construction, and maintenance problems can be significantly lowered by retaining the design geotechnical engineering firm to:

- Review the project plans and specifications prior to construction to determine whether our recommendations have been implemented, and to provide additional or modified recommendations, if necessary. This also allows us to check if any changes have occurred in the nature, design, or location of the proposed improvements and provides the opportunity to prepare a written response with updated recommendations.
- 2. Perform construction monitoring to check the validity of the assumptions we made to prepare this report. All earthwork operations should be performed under the observation of our representative to check that the site is properly prepared, the selected fill materials are satisfactory, and that placement and compaction of the fill has been performed in accordance with our recommendations and the project specifications. Sufficient notification to us prior to earthwork is essential.

If we are not retained to perform the services described above, then we are not responsible for any party's interpretation of our report (and subsequent addenda, letters, and verbal discussions).



## 5.0 EARTHWORK RECOMMENDATIONS

The relative compaction and optimum moisture content of soil and aggregate base referred to in this report are based on the most recent ASTM D1557 test method. Compacted soil is not acceptable if it is unstable. It should exhibit only minimal flexing or pumping, as determined by an ENGEO representative.

As used in this report, the term "moisture condition" refers to adjusting the moisture content of the soil by either drying if too wet or adding water if too dry.

We define "structural areas" in Section 5 of this report as any area sensitive to settlement of compacted soil. These areas include, but are not limited to the pump track, sidewalks, pavement areas, and retaining walls.

#### 5.1 DEMOLITION AND STRIPPING

Areas to be developed should be cleared of all surface and subsurface deleterious materials, including vegetation, designated trees, buried utility lines, buried irrigation lines and debris. Excavations extending below the planned finished site grades should be cleaned and backfilled with suitable material compacted to the recommendations presented in Section 5.5. ENGEO should be retained to observe and test all backfilling.

The surface vegetation should be cut as close to the surface as possible and removed from the site. The remaining vegetation should be thoroughly disked or mulched into the upper 12 inches of the site soil until ENGEO determines that the soil is adequately mixed. If desired, ENGEO can evaluate site vegetation at the time of grading to determine the feasibility of mulching organics in place.

#### 5.2 OVER-OPTIMUM SOIL MOISTURE CONDITIONS

The contractor should anticipate encountering excessively over-optimum (wet) soil moisture conditions during winter or spring grading, or during or following periods of rain. Wet soil can make proper compaction difficult or impossible.

Wet soil conditions can be mitigated by:

- 1. Frequent spreading and mixing during warm dry weather,
- 2. Mixing with drier materials,
- 3. Mixing with a lime or cement product, or
- 4. Stabilizing with aggregate or geotextile stabilization fabric, or both.

We should evaluate Options 3 and 4 for approval prior to implementation.

#### 5.3 ACCEPTABLE FILL

On-site material is suitable as fill material provided it is processed to remove concentrations of organic material, debris, and particles greater than 8 inches in maximum dimension.



Imported fill materials should meet the above requirements and have a plasticity index less than the on-site material. Allow us to sample and test proposed imported fill materials at least 5 days prior to delivery to the site.

#### 5.4 ENGINEERED FILL COMPACTION

#### 5.4.1 Grading in Structural Areas

Subgrade compaction should be performed prior to fill placement, following cutting operations, and in areas left at grade as follows.

- 1. Scarify to a depth of at least 12 inches.
- 2. Moisture condition soil to at least 3 percentage points above the optimum moisture content.
- 3. Compact the subgrade to at least 90 percent relative compaction. Compact the upper 6 inches of finish pavement subgrade to at least 95 percent relative compaction prior to aggregate base placement.

After the subgrade soil has been compacted, the contractor should place and compact acceptable fill as follows.

- 1. Spread fill in loose lifts that do not exceed 12 inches or the compaction equipment penetration depth, whichever is less.
- 2. Moisture condition lifts to at least 3 percentage points above the optimum moisture content.
- 3. Compact fill to a minimum of 90 percent relative compaction; Compact the upper 6 inches of fill in pavement areas to 95 percent relative compaction prior to aggregate base placement.

The contractor should compact the pavement Caltrans Class 2 aggregate base section to at least 95 percent relative compaction (ASTM D1557) at a moisture content at or slightly above the optimum moisture content.

#### 5.4.2 Underground Utility Backfill

Place and compact trench backfill as follows.

- Trench backfill should have a maximum particle size of 6 inches.
- Moisture condition material outside the trench to at least 3 percentage points above the optimum moisture content.
- Place fill in loose lifts not exceeding 12 inches.
- Compact fill to a minimum of 90 percent for native or imported soil and 95 percent for aggregate base (ASTM D1557).

The contractor is responsible for conducting trenching and shoring in accordance with CALOSHA requirements. Project consultants involved in utility design should specify pipe-bedding materials.



#### 5.5 SLOPE GRADIENTS

Slopes less than 10 feet high may be constructed at 2:1 (horizontal:vertical) or flatter. The contractor is responsible to construct temporary construction slopes in accordance with CALOSHA requirements.

#### 5.6 SITE DRAINAGE

The project civil engineer is responsible for designing surface drainage improvements. With regard to geotechnical engineering issues, we recommend that finish grades be sloped away from buildings and pavements to the maximum extent practical to reduce the potentially damaging effects of expansive soil. As a minimum, we recommend that water is not allowed to pond near foundations, pavements, or exterior flatwork.

#### 6.0 SHADE STRUCTURE FOUNDATION RECOMMENDATIONS

As requested, we are providing foundation recommendations for the proposed shade structure. The foundation recommendations provided below are for drilled piers and are appropriate in areas that are generally level.

#### 6.1 DRILLED PIERS

The shade structure may be supported on a drilled, cast-in-place, straight-shaft friction pier. The following design criteria should be incorporated into the structural design for the proposed shade structure foundation. Actual foundation depth should be determined by the shade structure designer based on structural design considerations.

•	Pier diameter:	Minimum 12 inches.
•	Maximum allowable skin friction:	500 pounds per square foot (psf). Ignore the upper 12 inches for design of vertical support of piers.
•	Pier uplift capacity:	The allowable pier uplift capacity may be determined using a side friction of 375 psf plus the weight of the pier.

Lateral loads may be resisted by passive pressure along the sides of foundations. An equivalent fluid weight of 300 pounds per cubic foot acting on 2 times the pier diameter and on the face of the pier cap may be used to evaluate passive resistance if the area in front of the pier is level for at least 10 feet; the upper 1 foot of pier embedment should be neglected for passive resistance pressure unless confined by pavement or slab. For foundation depths greater than 12 feet, apply a maximum pressure limit of 2,000 pounds per square foot (psf). For foundation depths less than 12 feet, there is no maximum lateral pressure limit. Pier reinforcements should be designed by the structural engineer and constructed to meet the minimum requirements stipulated by ACI 318.

The bottom of the pier excavation should be dry, clean, and free of loose soil before reinforcing steel is installed and concrete is placed. We recommend that the excavation of the piers be performed under our direct observation to establish that the pier is founded in suitable material and constructed in accordance with the recommendations presented in this letter. In order to minimize potential future pier settlement, all loose soil should be removed from the bottom of pier hole prior to placing concrete. If water collects in the pier shaft, it should be pumped out prior to the placement of concrete. The pier hole should not be allowed to desiccate before placing



concrete. Depressions at the top of the pier resulting from drilling operations or from any other cause should be backfilled to prevent ponding. A concrete collar occurring at the top of the pier as a result of placing should be removed to prevent unnecessary uplift forces against the pier.

## 7.0 CONCRETE SLABS-ON-GRADE

For the concrete pump track as well as all other exterior flatwork, Provide a minimum section of 6 inches of concrete over 4 inches of Class 2 aggregate base. The base should be compacted to at least 95 percent relative compaction (ASTM D1557) to provide firm, uniform support for the slabs-on-grade. Thicken flatwork edges to at least 10 inches to help control moisture variations in the subgrade and place wire mesh or rebar within the middle third of the slabs to help control the width and offset of cracks. Construct control and construction joints for the concrete flatwork in accordance with current Portland Cement Association Guidelines.

The project structural engineer should determine the appropriate thickness, concrete strength, and reinforcement of the pump track slab-on-grade. The contractor should notify and consult with the structural engineer if slabs-on-grade will be subject to construction traffic or equipment loads. Additional slab thickness or reinforcement may be needed if the slabs-on-grade are subject to construction loads.

#### 7.1 SETTLEMENT AND MAINTENANCE

Post-construction cracking of concrete slabs-on-grade is inherent in any project, especially where moderate soil expansion potential is present. Furthermore, due to the presence of the existing undocumented fill, some additional minor differential settlement can be expected. The recommendations contained in this report are intended to reduce the potential for cracking due to expansive soil and undocumented fill; however, routine repairs and maintenance should be expected for the pump track.

#### 7.2 TRENCH BACKFILL

Backfill and compact all trenches in accordance with Section 5.4.2.

## 8.0 LIMITATIONS AND UNIFORMITY OF CONDITIONS

This report presents geotechnical recommendations for design of the improvements discussed in Section 1.2 for the subject project. If changes occur in the nature or design of the project, we should be allowed to review this report and provide additional recommendations, if any. It is the responsibility of the owner to transmit the information and recommendations of this report to the appropriate organizations or people involved in design of the project, including but not limited to developers, owners, buyers, architects, engineers, and designers. The conclusions and recommendations contained in this report are solely professional opinions and are valid for a period of no more than 2 years from the date of report issuance.

We strived to perform our professional services in accordance with generally accepted principles and practices currently employed in the area; there is no warranty, express or implied. There are risks of earth movement and property damages inherent in building on or with earth materials. We are unable to eliminate all risks; therefore, we are unable to guarantee or warrant the results of our services.



This report is based upon field and other conditions discovered at the time of report preparation. We developed this report with limited subsurface exploration data. We assumed that our subsurface exploration data is representative of the actual subsurface conditions across the site. Considering possible underground variability of soil, rock, stockpiled material, and groundwater, additional costs may be required to complete the project. We recommend that the owner establish a contingency fund to cover such costs. If unexpected conditions are encountered, notify ENGEO immediately to review these conditions and provide additional and/or modified recommendations, as necessary.

Our geotechnical exploration did not include work to determine the existence of possible hazardous materials. If any hazardous materials are encountered during construction, then notify the proper regulatory officials immediately.

This document must not be subject to unauthorized reuse, that is, reusing without written authorization of ENGEO. Such authorization is essential because it requires ENGEO to evaluate the document's applicability given new circumstances, not the least of which is passage of time. Actual field or other conditions will necessitate clarifications, adjustments, modifications, or other changes to ENGEO's documents. Therefore, ENGEO must be engaged to prepare the necessary clarifications, adjustments, modifications, or other changes before construction activities commence or further activity proceeds. If ENGEO's scope of services does not include on-site construction observation, or if other persons or entities are retained to provide such services, ENGEO cannot be held responsible for any or all claims arising from or resulting from the performance of such services by other persons or entities, and from any or all claims arising from or resulting from the changes from clarifications, adjustments, modifications, discrepancies, or other changes necessary to reflect changed field or other conditions.

We determined the lines designating the interface between layers on the exploration logs using visual observations. The transition between the materials may be abrupt or gradual. The exploration logs contain information concerning samples recovered, indications of the presence of various materials such as clay, sand, silt, rock, existing fill, etc., and observations of groundwater encountered. The field logs also contain our interpretation of the subsurface conditions between sample locations. Therefore, the logs contain both factual and interpretative information. Our recommendations are based on the contents of the final logs, which represent our interpretation of the field logs.



## **SELECTED REFERENCES**

- 1. American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE). (2016). Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures, ASCE Standard, ASCE/SEI 7-16.
- 2. California Building Standards Commission. (2019). California Building Code 2019, Volumes 1 and 2. Sacramento, California.
- 3. California Department of Transportation. (2012). Highway Design Manual.
- 4. California Geological Survey, by Bryant, W.A., and Hart, E.W., *Fault-Rupture Hazard Zones in California*; Special Publication 42, Interim Revision 2007.
- 5. Eaton J., 1986, Tectonic Environment of the 1892 Vacaville/Winters Earthquakes and the Potential of Large Earthquakes along the Western Edge of the Sacramento Valley; U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 86-370.
- 6. Moores, E. M., Unruh, J. R., and Verosub, K. L., 1991, *Quaternary Blind Thrusting and Potential Seismic Hazards in the S.W. Sacramento Valley, California*; GSA Conference, May 29, 1991.
- 7. Petersen, M. D., et al., 2008, *Documentation for the 2008 Update of the United States National Seismic Hazard Maps*; U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2008–1128, 61 p.
- 8. SEAOC, 1996, Recommended Lateral Force Requirements and Tentative Commentary.
- 9. United States Geologic Survey (USGS), 2008, National Seismic Hazard Maps Fault Parameters.
- 10. Wagner D.L., Bortugno E.J., and. McJunkin R.D, 1991, *Geologic Map of the San Francisco-San Jose Quadrangle, California 1:250,000*.
- 11. Wong, I. G. and Biggar, N. E., 1989, *Seismicity of eastern Contra Costa County, San Francisco Bay Region, California*; Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, v. 79.



June 3, 2022



### **FIGURES**

FIGURE 1: Vicinity Map FIGURE 2: Site Plan FIGURE 3: Regional Geologic Map FIGURE 4: Regional Faulting and Seismicity









U.S.G.S OPEN-FILE REPORT 96-705



LIVERMORE, CALIFORNIA

PATH: G:\DRAFTING\PROJECTS\\_20000 TO 21999\20347\20347000001\GEOTECH\GEX\20347000001\_GEX.APRX LAYOUT: FAULT&SEISMICITY USER: JVERGARA



## **APPENDIX A**

BORING LOG KEY TEST PIT LOGS

			KEY 7	<b>FO BORIN</b>	G LO	GS		
	MAJOF	R TYPES		_		DESCRIPTIO	N	
RE THAN N #200	GRAVELS MORE THAN HALF COARSE FRACTION	CLEAN GRA	AVELS WITH	GW - Well GP - Poorly	gradeo y grade	l gravels or gravel-sa ed gravels or gravel-s	and mixtures sand mixture	s
SOILS MOF RGER THA /E	IS LARGER THAN NO. 4 SIEVE SIZE	GRAVELS V	VITH OVER % FINES	GM - Silty GC - Claye	gravels y grav	, gravel-sand and sil els, gravel-sand and	t mixtures clay mixture	s
GRAINED S MAT'L LAF SIEV	SANDS MORE THAN HALF COARSE FRACTION	CLEAN SA LESS THA	ANDS WITH N 5% FINES	SW - Well SP - Poorly	graded / grade	sands, or gravelly s d sands or gravelly s	and mixtures	s
COARSE-	NO. 4 SIEVE SIZE	SANDS W	ITH OVER 6 FINES	SM - Silty s SC - Clave	sand, s y sand	and-silt mixtures		
SOILS MORE AT'L SMALLER SIEVE	SILTS AND CLAYS LIQ	UID LIMIT 50 %	OR LESS	ML - Inorga CL - Inorga OL - Low p	anic sili anic cla lasticit	t with low to medium y with low to mediun y organic silts and cla	plasticity n plasticity ays	
INE-GRAINED S AN HALF OF MA THAN #200	SILTS AND CLAYS LIQUIE	) LIMIT GREATE	R THAN 50 %	MH - Elasti CH - Fat cl	c silt w ay with	rith high plasticity high plasticity	avs	
	HIGHLY OR	GANIC SOILS		PT - Peat a	and oth	er highly organic soi	ls	
For fin	e-grained soils with >30% retained on	the #200 sieve, the	e words "sandy" or "grave	elly" (whichever is predor	minant) are	added to the group name.	ine.	
	U.S. STANDARD	SERIES SIE	GI VE SIZE	RAIN SIZES	C	LEAR SQUARE SIEV	<b>E OPENING</b>	S
SILT	200 40 S	SAND	0	4	3/ GRA	4 "3 VEL		2''
ANE CLAY	S FINE	MEDIUM	COARSE	FINE		COARSE	COBBLES	BOULDERS
	RELATI SANDS AND GRAVEL	VE DENSIT	Y Lows/foot			CONSIST SILTS AND CLAYS	ENCY <u>STRENGTH*</u>	
	VERY LOOSE LOOSE MEDIUM DENSE DENSE VERY DENSE	<u>.</u>	(S.P.T.) 0-4 4-10 10-30 30-50 OVER 50			VERY SOFT SOFT MEDIUM STIFF STIFF VERY STIFF HARD	0-1/4 1/4-1/2 1/2-1 1-2 2-4 OVER 4	
				MOIS	TURE (	CONDITION		
	SAMPLER Modified Ca	SYMBOLS Ilifornia (3" O.E 2.5" O.D.) samu	).) sampler bler	DRY MOIST WET	Dam Visit	Dusty, dry to touch p but no visible water ble freewater		
	SPT - S	nlit spoon sam	nler	LINE TYPE	S			
	Shelby Tube				So	lid - Layer Break		
	Dames and	Moore Piston			Da	ashed - Gradational or a	oproximate laye	r break
	Continuous C	Core		GROUNDWAT	ER SY	MBOLS		
	Bag Samples	5		$\overline{\Delta}$	Grour	ndwater level during drillin	g	
	🖑 Grab Sample	es		<u> </u>	Stabil	ized groundwater level		
	NR No Recovery	1						
(i * L	S.P.T.) Number of blows of 140 lb Inconfined compressive strength i	n tons/sq. ft., aste	0" to drive a 2-inch O.I	D. (1-3/8 inch I.D.) sai ermined by pocket pen	mpler etrometer		t Excellence	



Sunken Garc Livern 20347	len Pump Track nore, CA .000.001	Logged By: CMJ Logged Date: 5/12/2022 Equipment: Backhoe
Test Pit Number	Depth (Feet)	Description
1-DR1	0-2¾	CLAYEY SAND (SC), dark yellowish brown, dry to moist, fine- to coarse- grained sand, 40 - 45% fines, approximately 5% fine to coarse gravel [UNDOCUMENTED FILL]
	2¾ – 3	CLAYEY GRAVEL (GC), dark yellowish red, moist, fine to coarse gravel, 10-15% fine- to coarse-grained sand, 40 – 45% medium- to high-plasticity fines [UNDOCUMENTED FILL]
		Bottom of test pit at approximately 3 feet. Groundwater not encountered.
1-TP1	0 – 10	CLAYEY GRAVEL WITH SAND (GC), dark brown, dry to moist, 20 – 30% fine- to coarse-grained sand, 14.8% fines, 5 – 10% cobble, contains boulders, asphalt/brick debris, charcoal fragments [UNDOCUMENTED FILL]
		Bottom of test pit at approximately 10 feet. Groundwater not encountered.



Sunken Garo Livern 20347	len Pump Track nore, CA 7.000.001	Logged By: CMJ Logged Date: 5/12/2022 Equipment: Backhoe
Test Pit Number	Depth (Feet)	Description
1-TP2	0 – 1	SANDY LEAN CLAY WITH GRAVEL (CL), olive brown, dry to moist, 30 – 35% fine- to coarse-grained sand, 10 – 20% fine to coarse gravel, low plasticity [UNDOCUMENTED FILL]
	1 - 2	CLAYEY SAND WITH GRAVEL (SC), reddish yellow, moist, fine- to coarse- grained, 28.9% fines, 10 – 20% fine to coarse gravel, low plasticity [UNDOCUMENTED FILL]
	2 – 5	CLAYEY GRAVEL WITH SAND (GC), brown, moist, fine- to coarse gravel, 20 – 30% fine- to coarse grained sand, 15 – 25% fines, contains cobble, metal/wood/plastic debris [UNDOCUMENTED FILL]
	5 – 8½	SILT (ML), dark brown, moist, 15 – 20% fine- to coarse-grained sand, 10 – 15% fine to coarse gravel, non-plastic, contains plastic/asphalt debris [UNDOCUMENTED FILL]
	8½ – 10	CLAYEY GRAVEL WITH SAND (GC), yellowish brown and reddish brown, moist, fine to coarse gravel, 20 – 30% fine- to coarse-grained sand, 10 – 15% fines, 10 – 15% cobble, contains black clay fragments [UNDOCUMENTED FILL]
		Bottom of test pit at approximately 10 feet. Groundwater not encountered.



Sunken Garo Liverr 20347	den Pump Track nore, CA 7.000.001	Logged By: CMJ Logged Date: 5/12/2022 Equipment: Backhoe
Test Pit Number	Depth (Feet)	Description
1-TP3	0 - 2½	CLAYEY SAND (SC), red, dry to moist, 41.7% fines, 25 – 35% fine- to coarse-grained sand, 10 – 20% fine to coarse gravel, medium plasticity, contains wood debris [UNDOCUMENTED FILL]
	2½ - 3½	CLAYEY GRAVEL WITH SAND (GC), brown, moist, fine to coarse gravel, 20 – 30% fine- to coarse-grained sand, 20 - 25% fines, 10 – 15% cobble, contains asphalt debris [UNDOCUMENTED FILL]
	31⁄2 - 41⁄2	SILT (ML), dark brown, moist, 10 – 15% fine- to coarse-grained sand, non- plastic[FILL]
	4½ - 8½	CLAYEY GRAVEL WITH SAND (GC), dark yellowish brown, moist, fine- to coarse gravel, 20 – 30% fine- to coarse-grained sand, 20 - 30% fines, 10 – 20% cobble, contains boulders, asphalt/brick debris [UNDOCUMENTED FILL]
	8½ - 10	CLAYEY GRAVEL WITH SAND (GC), light olive brown, moist, fine to coarse gravel, 20 – 30% fine- to coarse-grained sand, 15 - 25% fines, contains cobble [UNDOCUMENTED FILL]
		Bottom of test pit at approximately 10 feet. Groundwater not encountered.



Sunken Garc Livern 20347	len Pump Track nore, CA .000.001	Logged By: CMJ Logged Date: 5/12/2022 Equipment: Backhoe
Test Pit Number	Depth (Feet)	Description
1-TP4	0 - 2½	CLAYEY GRAVEL WITH SAND (GC), dark yellowish brown, dry to moist, fine to coarse gravel, 30% fine- to coarse-grained sand, 16% fines, contains cobble, metal debris [UNDOCUMENTED FILL]
	21⁄2 - 31⁄2	CLAYEY GRAVEL WITH SAND (GC), olive brown, moist, fine to coarse gravel, 30 – 40% fine- to coarse-grained sand, 20 - 30% fines, contains cobble, asphalt/metal/brick debris UNDOCUMENTED [FILL]
	3½ - 4½	SILT (ML), dark brown, moist, 10 – 15% fine- to coarse-grained sand, non- plastic [UNDOCUMENTED FILL]
	4½ - 8	CLAYEY GRAVEL WITH SAND (GC), dark brown, moist, fine to coarse gravel, 25 – 35% fine- to coarse-grained sand, 20 - 30% fines, 5 – 10% cobble, contains asphalt debris [UNDOCUMENTED FILL]
	8 - 10	CLAYEY GRAVEL WITH SAND (GC), pale olive, moist, fine to coarse gravel, 15 – 25% fine- to coarse-grained sand, 20 - 30% fines, contains cobble [UNDOCUMENTED FILL]
		Bottom of test pit at approximately 10 feet. Groundwater not encountered.



**APPENDIX B** 

LABORATORY TEST DATA



**REPORT DATE:** 6/2/2022

TESTED BY: K. Lecce

REVIEWED BY: D. Bryant







![](_page_47_Figure_0.jpeg)

	SAMPLE ID	DEPTH (ft)	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	LL	PL	PI
	1-TP2 @ 1.5'	1.5	See exploration logs	23	14	9
•	1-TP3 @ 1'	1	See exploration logs	29	15	14

	SAMPLE ID	TEST METHOD	REMARKS	
	1-TP2 @ 1.5'	PI: ASTM D4318, Wet Method		
•	1-TP3 @ 1'	PI: ASTM D4318, Wet Method		
		CUENT: Livermore Area D	operation & Dark District	
	<del>S</del> FO	CLIENT: Livermore Area R	ecreation & Park District	
		CLIENT: Livermore Area Re PROJECT NAME: Sunken Gardens F	ecreation & Park District Pump Track	
ENC – Expect E		CLIENT: Livermore Area Ro PROJECT NAME: Sunken Gardens F PROJECT NO: 20347.000.001 PF	ecreation & Park District Pump Track 1001	
ENC – Expect E		CLIENT: Livermore Area Ro PROJECT NAME: Sunken Gardens F PROJECT NO: 20347.000.001 PH PROJECT LOCATION: Livermore, CA	ecreation & Park District Pump Track 1001	
ENC Expect E		CLIENT: Livermore Area Re PROJECT NAME: Sunken Gardens F PROJECT NO: 20347.000.001 PF PROJECT LOCATION: Livermore, CA REPORT DATE: 5/31/2022	ecreation & Park District Pump Track 1001	
ENC Expect E		CLIENT: Livermore Area Ro PROJECT NAME: Sunken Gardens R PROJECT NO: 20347.000.001 PH PROJECT LOCATION: Livermore, CA REPORT DATE: 5/31/2022 TESTED BY: K. Lecce	ecreation & Park District Pump Track 4001	

![](_page_48_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_1.jpeg)